

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,413	02/27/2002	Avraham J. Domb	Q63391	7369
7590 01/16/2004		EXAMINER FLOOD, MICHELE C		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC				
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1654	13
		·	DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/083,413	DOMB ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michele C. Flood	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED November 26, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a not places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension				
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	ing date of the final rejection, even if				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> </ol>						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	` ' ' —					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>13,14,18,20,21,24 and 25</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,15-17,19,22,23 and 26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appearance and appearance are desired as a second and appearance are desired as a second as	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:		$\mathcal{D}_{-}$				
		HRISTOPHER R. TATE RIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has inserted a new limitation in independent Claim 1, which would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For the reasons of record, the rejections made in the previous Office action dated 6/27/03 remain the same because the pending claims do not distinguish over the prior art of record.

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER